

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/586,448	11/24/2008	Samu Taulu	032700-9	4219	
78198 7850 I 1006/2010 Studebaker & Brackett PC One Fountain Square 11911 Freedom Drive, Suite 750 Reston, VA 20190			EXAMINER		
			HUNTLEY, DANIEL CARROLL		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3737		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/586,448 TAULU ET AL. Office Action Summary

	• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit				
		DANIEL HUNTLEY	3737				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
Period fo	or Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLA  Chelver Is LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  mains of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15  SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  The principle of the principle of the maintainer shartly period as  period for reply is specified above, the maintainer date of the mailing  do plant form adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.74(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status							
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Ju	lv 2006					
		action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
ت (۵	closed in accordance with the practice under E			o monto to			
<b>.</b>	·						
	ion of Claims						
	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.					
/—	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2006 is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	ΓΟ-152.			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	⊢(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> </ol>						
	<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li></ol>						
	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	. ,	0 🗆	(DTO 440)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Interview Summary     Paper No(s)/Mail Da	(F10-413) ite				

Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s) Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Feitent Application 6) Other:	
		_

Application/Control Number: 10/586,448 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Objections

Claims 1-11 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, line 3, the phrase '... a dynamic phenomenon a signal associated with ... in which method the measurement...' is missing punctuation. Please revise for clarity. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

Claim 1 recites the limitation "the co-ordinates" in line 14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 9 recites the limitation "the person" in line 5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 10 recites the limitation "the magnetic pieces" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claims 5 and 10, applicant asserts that the claim element 'means of spherical...' in claim 5 or "means of the measurement signals' in claim 10 are means (or step) plus function limitations that invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, it is unclear whether the claim

Application/Control Number: 10/586,448

Art Unit: 3737

elements are means (or step) plus function limitations that invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, because 'means for' is not present in the claim. If applicant wishes to have the claim limitations treated under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, applicant is required to:

- (a) Amend the claim to include the phrase "means for" or "step for" in accordance with these guidelines: the phrase "means for" or "step for" must be modified by functional language and the phrase must not be modified by sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function; or
- (b) Show that the claim limitation is written as a function to be performed and the claim does not recite sufficient structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function which would preclude application of 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. For more information, see MPEP \$ 2181.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/586,448

Art Unit: 3737

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (e) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-2, 5, and 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,408,178 (Wikswo(\*178)).

In re claims 1-2, 5, and 8, Wikswo('178) teach a method of signal processing which is used to separate from a signal, registered using a measuring instrument that measures a dynamic phenomenon, a signal associated with a static source in the measurement object, in which method the measurement object and the measuring instrument move with respect to one another, characterized in that the measurement object is movable intentionally and unlimitedly. and that determining the movement of the measuring instrument and the measurement object with respect to one another based on the signals measured using the measuring instrument (col 12, lns 35-43); presenting the signal in the co-ordinates attached to the measurement object, whereby the signal produced by a static source is detected as a static signal (col 13, lns 25-30); and separating the device signals from the measured object signals (col 6, lns 45-60). The examiner notes that Wikswo('178) do not expressly teach separating static signals from measurement signals or that the positions are determined in real time., but separating signals of varying field strength or other parameters is well-known in the field of magnetometers and would have been an obvious technique in the absence of any further showing of criticality or unexpected result. Further, in the field of magnetometers, Avrin('779) teach cancellation of the applied field [0030]-[0031] which the examiner interprets as describing 'signal separation' and that the instrument operates in real-time to facilitate telemedicine procedures [0050].

Application/Control Number: 10/586,448

Art Unit: 3737

Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the signal processing method and device disclosed by Wikswo('178) with the magnetometer/SQUID device disclosed by Avrin('779) in order to separate and detect an object's movement during a procedure in order to cancel out random movement/noise that negatively affects the desired measurement signal.

In re claims 7 and 11, the examiner notes that the use of high-pass filters is a well-known expedient in the signal processing art for separating signals having distinguishable components such as frequency.

In re claim 9, Wikswo('178) and Avrin('779) teach the invention as described above, and further Avrin('779) teach the use of a patient intentionally moving eyes to enhance sensitivity ([0046]).

In re claim 10, Wikswo('178) and Avrin('779) teach the invention as described above, and further Avrin('779) teach the detection and positioning of ferromagnetic foreign bodies within the object (abstract; [0008]; [0046]).

Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wikswo('178) and Avrin('779) as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of US 7,062,391 B2 (Wilson('391)).

In re claims 3-6, Wikswo('178) and Avrin('779) teach the invention as described above except for expressly teaching modeling the measurement movement and presenting the signal as elementary fields attached to the measurement object. Further, they fail to teach the use of spherical harmonic functions or minimum norm estimates. However, in the field of biomagnetic measurement, Wilson('391) teach a method of modeling magnetic fields for calculating

Art Unit: 3737

inadvertent motion during a procedure (abstract) utilizing spherical harmonic functions (col 4, lns 1-15) and minimum norm estimates (col 4, lns 48-55). Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the signal processing method and device disclosed by Wikswo('178) and the magnetometer/SQUID device disclosed by Avrin('779) with the method for motion compensation as disclosed by Wilson('391) in order to separate and detect an object's movement during a procedure in order to cancel out random movement/noise that negatively affects the desired measurement signal.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIEL HUNTLEY whose telephone number is (571)270-1217. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 7:30-4, alternating Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3737

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN CASLER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3737

/DANIEL HUNTLEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3737